AUG 2 0 2012

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
) Criminal No. 7:10cr00054-5
v.) 2255 MEMORANDUM OPINION
SEAN ANTONIO ROBERTSON.) By: Samuel G. Wilson) United States District Judge

Petitioner, Sean Antonio Robertson, a federal inmate proceeding *pro se*, filed a motion to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, challenging his 2011 conviction and sentence. Upon review of the record, the court finds that Robertson's instant § 2255 motion must be dismissed as an unauthorized successive motion.

I.

Robertson challenges his 188-month sentence for conspiring to distribute more than 50 grams of cocaine base, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846. Court records indicate that Robertson previously filed a § 2255 motion regarding the same conviction and sentence which this court denied. See Docket Entry Nos. 256, 260, and 261. This court may consider a second or successive § 2255 motion only upon specific certification from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit that the claims in the motion meet certain criteria. See § 2255(h). As Robertson has not submitted any evidence of having obtained certification from the Court of Appeals to file a second or successive § 2255 motion, the court must dismiss his petition as successive.

Petitioner is hereby advised of the procedure for obtaining certification from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit to have this court review a successive § 2255 motion. Petitioner must submit a copy of the successive § 2255 motion to the Court of Appeals, along with a motion requesting a three-judge panel certification that the district court may review the successive § 2255 motion. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244 (as amended, 1996). A Fourth Circuit form and instructions for filing this motion will be included with this notice or are available from the Fourth Circuit at the following address: Office of the Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, 900 E. Main St., Richmond, VA 23219.

For the reasons stated, the court dismisses Robertson's motion as an unauthorized successive § 2255 motion.

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Memorandum Opinion and the accompanying Order to the parties.

ENTER: This 20th day of August, 2012.

United States District Judge